

A Few Hermite-Hadamard Type Integral Inequalities for Multiplicatively s-Preinvex Functions

R. youngw* *

Department of Mathematics, Krklareli University, Krklareli, Turkey.

Article Info

Received: 30-04-2025

Revised:08-05-2025

Accepted:19-05-2025

Published:29-05-2025

Abstract. In this work, we prove Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for multiplicatively s-preinvex functions. Additionally, we use certain features of multiplicatively s-preinvex and preinvex functions to derive certain novel inequalities using multiplicative integrals.

Keywords: Invex sets; Preinvex functions; Multiplicative calculus; Hermite-Hadamard inequalities.

Index to information contained in this paper

1 Introduction

2 Main results

3 Conclusion

1. Introduction

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with $a_1, a_2 \in I$ and $a_1 < a_2$, and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a

convex function. The double inequality

$$f\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2}$$

is known in the literature as Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality for convex functions. Both the inequalities hold in the reversed direction if f is concave. In recent years, several generalizations and extensions have been considered for classical convexity. One of the most important generalizations of the concept of convex function is that of preinvex function introduced by Hanson [6]. Ben-Israel and Mond [5] introduced the concepts of invex set and preinvex function. Weir and Mond [20], Noor [14] and Yang and Li [21] have studied the basic properties of the preinvex functions. For recent generalizations and extensions of the preinvex functions, see [2, 3, 7–10, 13, 17, 18].

1.1 Preinvexity and Hermite-Hadamard inequalities

Let us recall some definitions and known results concerning invexity and preinvexity.

Definition 1.1 [21] A set $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be invex if there exist a function $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1) \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}, \mu \in [0, 1].$$

The invex set $\mathfrak{S}$ is also called a η -connected set.

Definition 1.2 [20] Let f be a function on the invex set $\mathfrak{S}$. Then, f is said to be preinvex with respect to η , if $f(a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1)) \leq (1 - \mu)f(a_1) + \mu f(a_2)$, $\forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}, \mu \in [0, 1]$.

It is to be noted that every convex function is preinvex with respect to the map $\eta(a_2, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$, but the converse is not true, see for example [20, 22].

To prove some results in this paper, we need the well-known Condition C introduced by Mohan and Neogy in [11].

Condition C Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We say that the bifunction η satisfies the Condition C if for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\mu \in [0, 1]$,

$$\eta(a_1, a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1)) = -\mu \eta(a_2, a_1),$$

$$\eta(a_2, a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1)) = (1 - \mu) \eta(a_2, a_1).$$

Note that for every $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\mu \in [0, 1]$ and from condition C, we have $\eta(a_1 + \mu_2 \eta(a_2, a_1), a_1 + \mu_1 \eta(a_2, a_1)) = (\mu_2 - \mu_1) \eta(a_2, a_1)$.

In [12] Noor has obtained the following Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for the preinvex functions.

Theorem 1.3 Let $f : \mathfrak{S} = [a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a preinvex function on the interval of real numbers $\mathfrak{S}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < \eta(a_2, a_1)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x)) dx \leq \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2}.$$

Definition 1.4 [15] A nonnegative function $f : \mathfrak{S} \subset [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be s -preinvex with respect to η for some fixed $s \in (0, 1]$, if

$$f(a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1)) \leq (1 - \mu)^s f(a_1) + \mu^s f(a_2)$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.5 [16] A function $f: \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is said to be multiplicatively (or logarithmically) s -preinvex for $s \in (0, 1)$ with respect to η , if $f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)) \leq [f(a_1)]^{(1-\mu)s} [f(a_2)]^{\mu s}$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$.

From the above definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)) &\leq \ln\{[f(a_1)]^{(1-\mu)s} [f(a_2)]^{\mu s}\} \\ &= \ln[f(a_1)]^{(1-\mu)s} + \ln[f(a_2)]^{\mu s} \\ &= (1 - \mu)s \ln f(a_1) + \mu s \ln f(a_2). \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Multiplicative calculus

Recall that the notion of multiplicative integral is denoted by $\int_u^v (f(x))^{dx}$ while the ordinary integral is denoted by $\int_u^v (f(x)) dx$. This comes from the fact that the sum of the terms of product is used in the definition of a classical Riemann integral of f on $[u, v]$, the product of terms raised to certain powers is used in the definition of multiplicative integral of f on $[u, v]$.

There is the following relation between Riemann integral and multiplicative integral [4].

Proposition 1.6 *If f is Riemann integrable on $[u, v]$, then f is multiplicative integrable on $[u, v]$ and*

$$\int_u^v (f(x))^{dx} = e^{\int_u^v \ln(f(x)) dx}.$$

In [4], Bashirov et al. show that multiplicative integral has the following results:

Proposition 1.7 *If f is positive and Riemann integrable on $[u, v]$, then f is multiplicative integrable on $[u, v]$ and*

- (1) $\int_u^v ((f(x))^r)^{dx} = \int_u^v ((f(x))^{dx})^r$,
- (2) $\int_u^v (f(x)g(x))^{dx} = \int_u^v (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_u^v (g(x))^{dx}$,
- (3) $\int_u^v \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)^{dx} = \frac{\int_u^v (f(x))^{dx}}{\int_u^v (g(x))^{dx}}$,
- (4) $\int_u^v (f(x))^{dx} = \int_u^w (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_w^v (f(x))^{dx}$, $u \leq w \leq v$.
- (5) $\int_u^u (f(x))^{dx} = 1$ and $\int_u^v (f(x))^{dx} = \left(\int_v^u (f(x))^{dx}\right)^{-1}$.

2. Main results

In this section we establish some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for multiplicatively s -preinvex functions. We also obtain integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for product and quotient of preinvex and multiplicatively s -preinvex functions.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. If f is a positive and multiplicatively s -preinvex function on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$ and η satisfies Condition C, then

$$\left[f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \right]^{2^{s-1}} \leq \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \leq [f(a_1)f(a_2)]^{1/(s+1)}. \quad (1)$$

Proof Since f is a multiplicatively s -preinvex function, we have for every $u, v \in [a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$ with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$

$$f\left(\frac{2u + \eta(v, u)}{2}\right) = f\left(u + \frac{\eta(v, u)}{2}\right) \leq (f(u))^{1/2^s} (f(v))^{1/2^s}.$$

Now let $u = a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)$ and $v = a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)$. From Condition C, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1) + \frac{\eta(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1), a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1))}{2}\right) \\ &= f\left(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1) + \frac{(2\mu - 1)\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \\ &= f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq (f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s} (f(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithms of both sides of the above inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) &\leq \ln\left((f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s} (f(a_1 + (1-\mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) + \frac{1}{2^s} \ln(f(a_1 + (1-\mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to μ on $[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^s} \int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu + \frac{1}{2^s} \int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + (1-\mu)\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}^{a_1} \ln(f(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2^{s-1} \ln f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)^{2^{s-1}} &\leq e^{\left(\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx\right)} \\ &= \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)^{2^{s-1}} \leq \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}}, \quad (2)$$

which completes the proof of the left hand side of (1). Now consider the right hand side of (1).

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} &= \left(e^{\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx\right)} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu} \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln((f(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (f(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 ((1-\mu)^s \ln f(a_1) + \mu^s \ln f(a_2)) d\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$= e \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \right)$$

$$\ln(f(a)f(a)) \mu^{sd\mu} = [f$$

$$(a_1)f(a_2)]^{1/(s+1)}.$$

Hence, we get the inequality

$$\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \leq [f(a_1)f(a_2)]^{1/(s+1)} \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3) gives the desired result. $\blacksquare$

Remark 2.2 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 3.1 in [18].

Remark 2.3 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 5 in [1].

Theorem 2.4 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. If f and g are positive and multiplicatively s -preinvex functions on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$ and η satisfies Condition C, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[f \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) g \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) \right]^{2^{s-1}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ & \leq [(f(a_1)f(a_2)) \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))]^{1/(s+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof Since f and g are positive and multiplicatively s -preinvex functions and η satisfies Condition C, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left(f \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) g \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) \right) \\ & = \ln \left(f \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) \right) + \ln \left(g \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \ln((f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s} \cdot (f(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s}) \\ &+ \ln\left((g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s} \cdot (g(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))^{1/2^s}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) + \ln(f(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) + \ln(g(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1)))] . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to μ on $[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln\left(f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2^s} \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) + \ln(f(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1))) \right] d\mu \\ &+ \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2^s} \ln(g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) + \frac{1}{2^s} \ln(g(a_1 + (1 - \mu)\eta(a_2, a_1))) \right] d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}^{a_1} \ln(f(x)) dx \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}^{a_1} \ln(g(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{s-1} \ln\left(f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right)\right)^{2^{s-1}} \\ &\leq e^{\left(\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx\right)} \\ &= e^{\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx\right) \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx} \cdot e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we attain

$$\left(f \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) g \left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) \right)^{2^{s-1}} \leq \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}}. \quad (5)$$

Consider the second inequality in (4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= e^{\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx \right) \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= \left(e^{\eta(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu + \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu + \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu} \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln((f(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (f(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu + \int_0^1 \ln((g(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (g(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 ((1-\mu)^s \ln f(a_1) + \mu^s \ln f(a_2)) d\mu + \int_0^1 ((1-\mu)^s \ln g(a_1) + \mu^s \ln g(a_2)) d\mu} \\ &= e^{\ln(f(a_1)f(a_2)) \int_0^1 (1-\mu)^s d\mu + \ln(g(a_1)g(a_2)) \int_0^1 \mu^s d\mu} \\ &= [(f(a_1)f(a_2)) \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))]^{1/(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)}} \leq [(f(a_1)f(a_2)) \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))]^{1/(s+1)}. \quad (6)$$

From the inequalities (5) and (6), we get the inequality (4). ■

Remark 2.5 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.4 reduces to Theorem 3.2 in [18].

Remark 2.6 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.4 reduces to Theorem 7 in [1].

Theorem 2.7 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. If f and g are positive and multiplicatively s -preinvex functions on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$ and η satisfies Condition C, then

$$\left[\frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \right]^{2^{s-1}} \leq \left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \leq \left[\frac{f(a_1)f(a_2)}{g(a_1)g(a_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{s+1}}. \quad (7)$$

Proof Since f and g are positive and multiplicatively s -preinvex functions and η satisfies Condition C, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \\ &= \ln \left(f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right) \right) \\ & \leq \ln((f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))^{1/2^s} \cdot (f(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))^{1/2^s}) \\ & \quad - \ln((g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))^{1/2^s} \cdot (g(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))^{1/2^s}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) + \ln(f(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) + \ln(g(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))] \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to μ on $[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) + \ln(f(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))] d\mu \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2^s} [\ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) + \ln(g(a_1+(1-\mu)\eta(a_1,a_2)))] d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}^{a_1} \ln(f(x)) dx \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2^s} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx + \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}^{a_1} \ln(g(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \left[\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$2^{s-1} \ln \frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \\ \leq \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left[\frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \right]^{2^{s-1}} \\ \leq e^{\left(\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx \right)} \\ = \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx} e^{-\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = \left(\frac{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx}}{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = \left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x)) dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left[\frac{f\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{2a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)}{2}\right)} \right]^{2^{s-1}} \leq \left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x)) dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}}. \quad (8)$$

Now, consider the second inequality in (7):

$$\left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x)) dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = \left(\frac{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx}}{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx} e^{-\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = \left(e^{\left(\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) d\mu \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ = e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1))) d\mu} \\ \leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln((f(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (f(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln((g(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (g(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= e^{\int_0^1 ((1-\mu)^s \ln f(a_1) + \mu^s \ln f(a_2)) d\mu - \int_0^1 ((1-\mu)^s \ln g(a_1) + \mu^s \ln g(a_2)) d\mu} \\
 &= e^{\ln(f(a_1)f(a_2))^{\int_0^1 \mu^s d\mu} - \ln(g(a_1)g(a_2))^{\int_0^1 \mu^s d\mu}} \\
 &= \left[\frac{f(a_1)f(a_2)}{g(a_1)g(a_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{s+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^s dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^s dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \leq \left[\frac{f(a_1)f(a_2)}{g(a_1)g(a_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{s+1}}. \quad (9)$$

By using the inequalities (8) and (9), we get the inequality (7) which is the required result. ■

Remark 2.8 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.7 reduces to Theorem 3.3 in [18].

Remark 2.9 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.7 reduces to Theorem 9 in [1].

Theorem 2.10 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. Let f and g be preinvex and multiplicatively s -preinvex positive functions, respectively, on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. Then, we have

$$\left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^s dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^s dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}}}{e \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}.$$

Proof Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^s dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^s dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx}}{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\
 &= \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx - \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\
 &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1 + \mu\eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu} \\
 &\leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1) + \mu(f(a_2) - f(a_1))) d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln((g(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (g(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu} \\
 &= e^{\ln \left(\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}} \right) - 1 - \ln(g(a_1)g(a_2))^{\int_0^1 \mu^s d\mu}} \\
 &= \frac{\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}}}{e \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the proof is completed. ■

Remark 2.11 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.10 reduces to Theorem 3.4 in [18].

Remark 2.12 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.10 reduces to Theorem 11 in [1].

Theorem 2.13 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. Let f and g be multiplicatively s -preinvex and preinvex positive functions, respectively, on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. Then, we have

$$\left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^s dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^s dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \frac{e \cdot (f(a_1) f(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}{\left(\frac{(g(a_2))^{g(a_2)}}{(g(a_1))^{g(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{g(a_2) - g(a_1)}}}.$$

Proof Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^s dx}{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^s dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx}}{e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(f(x)) dx} - e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} \ln(g(x)) dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu} - e^{\int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1 + \mu \eta(a_2, a_1))) d\mu} \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln((f(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (f(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu} - e^{\int_0^1 \ln((g(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (g(a_2))^{\mu^s}) d\mu} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1) \cdot f(a_2))^{\mu^s} d\mu} - \ln \left(\left(\frac{(g(a_2))^{g(a_2)}}{(g(a_1))^{g(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{g(a_2) - g(a_1)}} \right) + 1 \\ &= \frac{e \cdot (f(a_1) f(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}{\left(\frac{(g(a_2))^{g(a_2)}}{(g(a_1))^{g(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{g(a_2) - g(a_1)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 2.14 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.13 reduces to Theorem 3.5 in [18].

Remark 2.15 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.13 reduces to Theorem 12 in [1].

Theorem 2.16 Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_1 < a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)$. Let f and g be preinvex and multiplicatively s -preinvex positive functions, respectively, on the interval $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. Then, we have

$$\left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (f(x))^s dx \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} (g(x))^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}} \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}{e}.$$

Proof Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ &= \left(e^{\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(f(x))dx + \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} \ln(g(x))dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ &= \left(e^{\eta(a_2,a_1) \left(\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))d\mu + \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))d\mu \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ &= e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))d\mu + \int_0^1 \ln(g(a_1+\mu\eta(a_2,a_1)))d\mu} \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^1 \ln(f(a_1)+\mu(f(a_2)-f(a_1)))d\mu - \int_0^1 \ln((g(a_1))^{(1-\mu)^s} (g(a_2))^{\mu^s})d\mu} \\ &= e^{\ln \left(\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}} - 1 + \ln(g(a_1)g(a_2))^{\int_0^1 \mu^s d\mu} \right)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}} \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}{e}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (f(x))^{dx} \cdot \int_{a_1}^{a_1+\eta(a_2,a_1)} (g(x))^{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta(a_2,a_1)}} \\ & \leq \frac{\left(\frac{(f(a_2))^{f(a_2)}}{(f(a_1))^{f(a_1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{f(a_2)-f(a_1)}} \cdot (g(a_1)g(a_2))^{1/(s+1)}}{e}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark 2.17 If we choose $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.16 reduces to Theorem 3.6 in [18].

Remark 2.18 If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = b - a$ and $s = 1$, then Theorem 2.16 reduces to Theorem 13 in [1].

3. Conclusion

This study establishes Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for multiplicatively s-preinvex and preinvex functions in the context of multiplicative calculus. Multiplicative calculus is used to obtain several Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for the product and quotient of multiplicatively s-preinvex and preinvex functions. It has shown that our findings may be used as special instances to achieve previously known results. It is anticipated that this article's concept would draw in readers.

References

- [1] [1] On integral inequalities for the product and quotient of two multiplicatively convex functions, M. A. Ali, M. Abbas, Z. Zhang, I. B. Sial, and R. Arif, Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 12 (3) (2019) 1-11.
- [2] Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose derivatives absolute values are preinvex, A. Barani, A. G. Ghazanfari, and S. S. Dragomir, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14 (2011), Article 64.
- [3] Hermite-Hadamard inequality via prequasiinvex functions, A. Barani, A. G. Ghazanfari, and S. S. Dragomir, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14 (2011), Article 48.
- [4] Multiplicative calculus and applications by A. E. Bashirov, E. M. Kurpinar, and A. Ozyapıcı, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 337 (1) (2008) 36-48.
- [5] What is invexity? by A. Ben-Israel and B. Mond, The Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 26 (1) (1986) 1-9. The article "On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions" by M. A. Hanson was published in the Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications in 1981. [7] I. Iscan, Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities for preinvex functions via fractional integrals and associated fractional inequalities, American Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 1 (3) (2013) 33-38. [8] On two times differentiable preinvex and prequasiinvex functions, I. Iscan, M. Kadakal, and H. Kadakal, Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics, 68 (1) (2019) 950-963. [9] New type integral inequalities for three times differentiable preinvex and prequasiinvex functions, Open Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 2 (1) (2018) 33-46; H. Kadakal, M. Kadakal, and I. Iscan. In the Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, 23 (2015) 236-241, M. A. Latif and M. Shoaib discuss Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for differentiable m-preinvex and (α, m) -preinvex functions. [11] S. K. Neogy and S. R. Mohan, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 189 (1995) 901-908, on invex sets and preinvex functions. The Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality for log-preinvex functions was written by M. A. Noor and published in the Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Approximation Theory in 2007. [13] M. A. Noor, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8 (3) (2007), Article 75, On Hadamard integral inequalities involving two log-preinvex functions. Variational like inequalities, Optimization, 30 (1994) 323-330, M. A. Noor [14]. [15] On Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for h-preinvex functions, Filomat, 28 (7) (2014) 1463-1474, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, M. U. Awan, and J. Li. [16] Integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for logarithmically h-preinvex functions, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, M. U. Awan, and F. Qi, Cogent Mathematics, 2 (1) (2015), doi: 10.1080/23311835.2015.1035856.
- [17] S. Ozcan, Filomat, 33 (14) (2019) 4377-4385, on revisions of several integral inequalities for differentiable prequasiinvex functions. [18] Some Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for multiplicatively preinvex functions, S. Ozcan, AIMS Mathematics, 5 (2) (2020) 1505-1518.
- [19] R. Pini, Invexity and Generalized Convexity, 23 (1991) 513-523, Optimization.
- [20] Preinvex functions in multiple goal optimization, T. Weir and B. Mond, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 136 (1998) 29-38. [21] On characteristics of preinvex functions, X. M. Yang and D. Li, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 256 (2001) 229-241. [22] Generalized invexity and generalized invariant monotonicity, by X. M. Yang, X. Q. Yang, and K. L. Teo, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 117 (2003) 607-625.